Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/809,457	ARNOLD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chandrahas Patel	2416	

	Chandrahas Patel	2416			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
HE REPLY FILED 11 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.		
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the proposed in the present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the present additional claims. 	sideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co		PTOL-324).		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allength non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,		_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	planation of		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·			
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616	/Chandrahas Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11. NOTE: Applicant argues that Huang does not teach determining a cycle number of a particular transmission cycle. However, examiner disagrees. Huang teaches transmitting in a repetitive cycle. This determines a cycle number as that particular repetitive cycle can be every other cycle, every 3rd, 4th cycle etc. Applicant further argues that Nakano does not teach transmission sequence is composed of one or more sequences composition of which depends on the cycle number. However, examiner disagrees. Nakano teaches amount of isochronous data which is real-time data included depends on amount of real-time that need to be transmitted. Therefore composition of each partial sequence is different for each cycle and depends on the particular transmission cycle. The cycle number is determined as a repetitive cycle by Huang. The data is transmitted in this particular cycle and the sequence is different for each cycle depending on amount of data that need to be transmitted.